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Abstract
We present a new open access corpus for the training and evaluation of EMG-to-Speech conversion systems based on array electromyographic recordings. The corpus is recorded with a recording paradigm closely mirroring realistic EMG-to-Speech usage scenarios, and includes evaluation data recorded from both audible as well as silent speech. The corpus consists of 9.5 hours of data, split into 12 sessions recorded from 8 speakers. Based on this corpus, we present initial benchmark results with a realistic online EMG-to-Speech conversion use case, both for the audible and silent speech subsets. We also present a method for drastically improving EMG-to-Speech system stability and performance in the presence of time-related artifacts.
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1. Introduction
Speech is the most important form of communication. It is efficient and natural and lets us easily communicate with each other. With recent advances in human-computer interaction, it also allows us to interact with increasingly complex speech-based user interfaces. However, speech interfaces are not without conceptual issues, since they typically rely on an audible acoustic speech signal. Sometimes, audible speech is simply not an option either due to situational circumstances (e.g. in situations where bystanders would be disturbed or where confidential information needs to be communicated while other people are listening), or due to the user not being able to produce a clean audible speech signal (e.g. in the case of medical issues impairing speech production, such as laryngectomy). Additionally, when this signal is distorted (e.g. in a large crowd or on a factory floor), performance degrades. A possible approach to address these problems is to build interfaces using speech-related biosignals other than acoustics recorded with a microphone [1].

In recent years, research interest in such silent speech interfaces (SSIs) – speech interfaces that continue to function even when an audible acoustic signal is not present [2] – has grown substantially within the speech and general signal processing communities. There is an increasing number of works using signals from ultrasound [3, 4, 5], permanent-magnetic articulography [6, 7], microwave radar [8], surface electromyography (sEMG, with muscle movement [9] or sub-vocal [10]), non-audible murmur recorded with a throat microphone [11] or even electrocorticography [12].

EMG-to-Speech conversion is one type of SSI: It refers to the direct conversion of facial electrophysiological muscle activity, measured using surface electromyography, to audible speech. Such a direct conversion approach is well suited to speech prosthesis and silent telephony applications and could be used as a pre-processing step to regular acoustic speech interfaces. To enable conversational use, direct EMG-to-Speech conversion must work in real-time and with low latency. Additionally, it should work on silently recorded signals – i.e. with a user simply mouthing words. Due to data availability, however, EMG-to-Speech systems are mainly evaluated on EMG measured during audible speech – performance on truly silent speech signals is generally not addressed. Finally, an online EMG-to-Speech system – one where output is produced directly as a user speaks – should not require large amounts of time and data for user or session enrolment. Due to signal differences between sessions and speaking modes, these challenges are substantial. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, there is no publicly available data corpus for EMG-to-Speech conversion research which can be used to investigate solutions to all of these issues.

Available corpora [13, 14], such as the EMG-UKA corpus, were designed with speech recognition in mind, and are therefore not well-suited for training and evaluating EMG-to-Speech conversion systems, especially not in an online context. Firstly, for training EMG-to-Speech conversion systems, sessions with large amounts of utterances are preferable (the EMG-UKA corpus contains only two large sessions, with most sessions containing 50 utterances). Secondly, array electrodes are a vastly more practical alternative to single-electrode setups, cutting the expertise and setup time required to use a system – and unlike with a system using a low number of electrodes, electrode attachment problems can potentially be compensated for. There is currently no publicly available array EMG speech corpus. Finally, existing corpora were recorded with training and testing utterances presented in a fully randomized order. While this is acceptable for testing offline EMG-to-Speech conversion, it is unsuitable for online scenarios. Here, the testing necessarily follows after the training because training data needs to be available before a system can be trained. To get realistic estimates of the performance of an online EMG-to-Speech conversion system, it is necessary to record test data after training data, which allows us to account for time-correlated changes in the signal – which an online system will have to compensate for. In addition to collecting such test data, within-session adaptation data to evaluate strategies for such compensation would also be useful.

2. CSL-EMG_Array corpus overview
In this work, we present a corpus of parallel EMG and audio data which can be used to build and evaluate EMG-to-Speech conversion systems in a realistic usage scenario, with speech recorded in two speaking modes – audibly and silently (i.e. merely mouthing words without producing audible acoustic speech) produced.

2.1. Design
The CSL-EMG_Array corpus consists of sessions recorded in a block-wise manner, with a total of 7 blocks recorded in a fixed sequence in numerical order (i.e. first block 1, then block 2, then block 3, etc.) and utterances within a block presented in randomized order (as opposed to previous corpora recording all utterances in a randomized manner with no time structure, as one single block). This closely mirrors the real online EMG-
to-Speech conversion scenario and therefore (unlike corpora where training and testing data do not have any temporal structure) allows for the development and testing of online EMG- to-Speech conversion systems with realistic estimates of online performance. The utterances are English sentences from the broadcast news domain, and are split into training, development and evaluation subsets.

Block 1 includes recordings of the entire set of sentences available in the corpus (the full training, development and evaluation sets). It can be used to train and optimize EMG-to-Speech systems and to create a baseline for evaluation in a manner that is comparable to offline EMG-to-Speech conversion.

Block 2, 4 and 6 each contain 20 training sentences (identical in each case). Block 2 additionally contains 20 utterances each for development and evaluation. These can be used to implement strategies for session enrolment and within-session adaptation.

Finally, Block 3, 5 and 7 contain 30 development and 20 evaluation utterances to evaluate these strategies on data not recorded concurrently with the data that the system is being trained on. This matches the evaluation scenario of a real online EMG-to-Speech conversion system, where compensation for time-related artifacts such as electrode detachment or impedance drift is required. Table 1 presents an overview of the utterance counts in each block and subset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>train</th>
<th>dev</th>
<th>eval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Block0_Alg)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(50)</td>
<td>(40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block1_Initial</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block2_Adapt1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block3_Eval1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block4_Adapt2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block5_Eval2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block6_Adapt3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block7_Eval3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>160 (210)</td>
<td>120 (160)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the EMG- and audio data, metadata about the recordings (including transcripts) are also included.

2.2. Recording setup and data formats

Recordings were performed in a recording chamber shielded against acoustic and electromagnetic interference. The audio signals included in the corpus were recorded using a RØDE NT-1 condenser microphone and a Behringer Xenyx 302 audio interface. The EMG signals were recorded using an OT Bioelecttronica Quattrocento EMG amplifier. Two array electrodes were used: One 4x8 electrode array on the left cheek, and one 8 electrode strip below the chin. The electrodes were used in a chained differential derivation configuration (see Figure 1 for positioning). Cross-row channels were not excluded and instead provided as-is. Finally, one channel was added to both the EMG- and audio signal, containing a marker that is pulled high by the EMG amplifier at the start of each utterance, allowing for easy synchronization of the EMG and audio signals by alignment of the markers. Audio data was sampled at 16000 Hz. The EMG signal was sampled at 2048 Hz with a 0.3 Hz DC offset removal and a 500 Hz anti-aliasing filter applied, and re-scaled to millivolt range (i.e. an EMG signal value of 1 for a channel means 1 mV of measured voltage difference).

The audio and EMG data is provided as one file per utterance, with files for each block in a separate folder and utterance files in separate sub-folders inside the block folder. Acoustic and EMG signal data are provided in the numpy version 3 format [15]. Additionally, for convenience, the acoustic data is also provided as 16-bit unsigned PCM wav files. The metadata is provided in a text-based json format, with one json file per block containing information about the block itself (sampling rate and filter parameters, ids of training, development and evaluation sets, order of recording) as well as about each utterance (utterance text and recording timestamp as unix epoch).

2.3. Recorded speakers and sessions

The corpus contains twelve sessions from a total of eight speakers. Four speakers (speakers 2, 4, 5 and 7) recorded audible sessions only, the other 4 (speakers 1, 3, 6 and 8) recorded both an audible and a silent session. The recorded speakers read English sentences but, as they were recruited from the general student population at a German university, are not native English speakers. They were allowed to re-attempt recording as often as desired if they felt they needed to correct their pronunciation. Three of the speakers were female, and five were male. Speakers aged between 19 and 32 years old. A detailed breakdown of the sessions can be found in Table 2. In total, 9.5 hours of data are available. Informed written consent of all recorded speakers was acquired prior to the collection of data.
3. Initial EMG-to-Speech evaluation

To provide a baseline for future results and to further illustrate the usefulness of the novel recording protocol of the CSL-EMG Array corpus, we present initial EMG-to-Speech conversion results on this corpus. Here, we provide initial results using the mel-cepstral distortion (MCD) score, a distance measure for comparing system output to a reference audio file [16].

3.1. Real-time C-TD15 EMG features

The original TD-15 feature set [17] has proven to be resilient and effective for EMG-to-Speech conversion. It is, however, of limited use when building a system that needs to output data with very low latency to enable natural spoken communication. In particular, it requires both explicit future context through stacking (150 ms into the future) as well as implicitly (to calculate a 9 point double average, which also limits its usefulness when using sample rates other than 600 Hz). For this reason, we introduce a new feature set: The causal TD15 (C-TD15) features, which can be calculated with low latency and no explicit future context.

3.2. Target acoustic speech features for audio files

Our system uses Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCCs) together with the non-continuous Fundamental Frequency ($F_0$) to represent the target acoustic signal as audio file. The acoustic signal was first windowed with the same parameters as the EMG signal. MFCCs were then extracted for each window as the filter parameters of a Mel-Log Spectrum Approximation filter [18], allowing for efficient re-synthesis. $F_0$ was extracted using the...
Figure 3: Baseline MCD scores (lower is better) for real-time session-dependent EMG-to-Speech conversion, training on Block 1 and evaluating on silent data from Blocks 1, 3, 5 and 7.

Yin algorithm [19]. Before calculating acoustic features, we normalize the audio waveform to be between -0.99 and 0.99 to ensure a consistent signal amplitude range between utterances.

3.3. EMG-to-Speech conversion

To train a basic EMG-to-Speech conversion system for one session, we first align the EMG- and acoustic signals of all training utterances from Block 1 of that session using the marker channel. We then extract parallel EMG C-TD15 and acoustic MFCC and \( F_o \) features. Using these features, we trained two neural networks: One to predict MFCC features and one to predict \( F_o \) trajectories, both from C-TD15 input. We used a bottleneck network structure that has proven to work well for this task [20] and amount of data, with hidden layer sizes of 2048, 512 and 1024, with dropout regularization after each layer. We trained the networks for 500 epochs using stochastic gradient descent with a learning rate of 0.01 and a minibatch size of 1024 (training times for these systems, on an Nvidia RTX2080Ti GPU, ranged between 20 and 25 minutes). No further tuning of model structure and hyper-parameters was performed for this evaluation.

For evaluation, we converted the EMG features of the development and evaluation data of blocks 1, 3, 5 and 7 of the same session to acoustic speech features using the trained networks and compare the resulting MFCCs to reference MFCCs, aligned using the marker. Results of this evaluation are summarized in Table 3. The systems operate as expected on the training block (block 1). However, performance gets worse or breaks down entirely for the online scenario (i.e. on those evaluation data which are recorded after training data, as evaluated using blocks 3, 5 and 7). This degradation is likely due to changing conditions over time, e.g. electrode gel drying out or speaker fatigue. Note that, while generating \( F_o \) from EMG is possible we do not consider \( F_o \) evaluation, as the results presented in this paper are merely given as a baseline for future work.

3.4. Real-Time EMG normalization

We next present an initial method for addressing these differences, enabling EMG-to-Speech conversion in a realistic real-time online scenario. We achieve this by performing running normalization of the EMG signal. We keep track of the 99th percentiles of the absolute value of EMG channels over 250 ms and normalize all samples using this 99th percentile value unless this would result in an amplification greater than 100. This keeps the signal in a range of -1 to 1, compensating for drift and short artifacts while not amplifying noise from detached electrodes. The results of applying the normalization are shown in Figure 2. Compared to the baseline (not shown), MCD scores for the evaluation blocks have improved and the normalized system is able to produce output for all blocks, enhancing practical usability.

3.5. Evaluation on silent data

Last but not least, we evaluate our systems on silently recorded speech data. The training procedure is as described in the previous sections. However, since there is no parallel reference audio data, evaluation is performed by first aligning the system output MFCCs with audible reference MFCCs from block 0 using the DTW algorithm. We then calculate the MCD score between output and aligned MFCCs as before, resulting in a DTW-MCD measure. Baseline results for this evaluation mode are plotted in Figure 3. Note that, due to the alignment, direct comparison of these scores to non-DTW MCD scores is not possible, and the DTW alignment is expected to reduce differences between systems – however, it can be seen that the silent sessions follow the same general trends described in the previous sections.

4. Summary and outlook

We have presented the CSL-EMG-Array corpus for EMG-to-Speech conversion. This corpus uses a state-of-the-art EMG electrode array setup. Due to the corpus design, it allows the easy and comparable training and testing of EMG-to-Speech systems for many paradigms, including offline and online systems, session adaptive systems and systems operating on silently produced speech. The corpus is openly available for research purposes. In the future, we plan to evaluate different approaches to adaptation using this corpus, with the goal of improving performance in an online evaluation context as well as in direct EMG-to-Speech conversion of silent speech.
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